



INDUSTRIAL
MATHEMATICS
INSTITUTE

2006:05

Function spaces associated with
Schrodinger operators: the Poschl-
Teller potential

G. Olafsson and S.J. Zheng

IMI

Preprint Series

Department of Mathematics
University of South Carolina

FUNCTION SPACES ASSOCIATED WITH SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS: THE PÖSCHL-TELLER POTENTIAL

GESTUR ÓLAFSSON AND SHIJUN ZHENG

ABSTRACT. We address the function space theory associated with the Schrödinger operator $H = -d^2/dx^2 + V$. The discussion is featured with potential $V(x) = -n(n+1)\operatorname{sech}^2 x$, which is called in quantum physics Pöschl-Teller potential. Using a dyadic system, we introduce Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and Besov spaces associated with H . We then use interpolation method to identify these spaces with the classical ones for a certain range of $p, q > 1$. A physical implication is that the corresponding wave function $\psi(t, x) = e^{-itH} f(x)$ admits appropriate time decay in the Besov space scale.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $H = -d^2/dx^2 + V$ be a Schrödinger operator on \mathbb{R} with real-valued potential function V . In quantum physics, H is the energy operator of a particle having one degree of freedom with potential V . If the potential has certain decay at ∞ , then one may expect that asymptotically, as time tends to infinity, the motion of the associated perturbed quantum system resembles the free evolution. Indeed, it is well-known that if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|)|V(x)|dx < \infty$, then the absolute continuous spectrum of H is $[0, \infty)$, the singular continuous spectrum is empty, and there is only finitely many negative eigenvalues. Moreover, the wave operators $W_{\pm} = s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} e^{-itH_0}$ exists and are complete [C01, DT79, Z04a].

Recently, several authors have studied function spaces associated with Schrödinger operators [JN94, E95, E96, DZ98, DZ02, BZ05]. One of the goals has been to develop the associated Littlewood-Paley theory, in order to give a unified approach. Motivated by the treatment in [BZ05, E95] for the barrier and Hermite cases, we consider H with the negative potential

$$(1.1) \quad V_n(x) = -n(n+1)\operatorname{sech}^2 x, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which is called the Pöschl-Teller potential [B99, G89]. The study of H with this potential is related to linearization of nonlinear wave and Schrödinger equations. In this paper,

Date: January 30, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 42B25; Secondary: 35P25, 35J10.

Key words and phrases. spectral calculus, Schrödinger operator, Littlewood-Paley theory.

G. Ólafsson was supported by NSF grants DMS-0139473 and DMS-0402068. S. Zheng was partially supported by DARPA grant MDA 972-01-1-0033. He also gratefully thanks NSF for support during his visit at Louisiana State University in 2003.

we are mainly concerned with characterization and identification of the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and Besov spaces associated with H . Notice that in contrast to the potentials studied in [BZ05, E95, DZ98, DZ02], $H = H_0 + V_n$ is not a positive operator and it has a resonance at zero.

Suppose $\{\varphi_j\}_0^\infty \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy: (i) $\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset \{|x| \leq 1\}$, $\text{supp } \varphi_j \subset \{2^{j-2} \leq |x| \leq 2^j\}$, $j \geq 1$; (ii) $|\varphi_j^{(m)}(x)| \leq c_m 2^{-mj}$, $\forall j, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$; and (iii)

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(x) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. The *Triebel-Lizorkin space associated with H* , denoted by $F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$, is defined to be the completion of the subspace $L_0^2 := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)} < \infty\}$, where the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)}$ is initially defined for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as

$$(1.3) \quad \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)} = \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j\alpha q} |\varphi_j(H)f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p}$$

(with usual modification if $q = \infty$).

Similarly, the *Besov space associated with H* , denoted by $B_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$, is defined by the quasi-norm

$$(1.4) \quad \|f\|_{B_p^{\alpha,q}(H)} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j\alpha q} \|\varphi_j(H)f\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

In Section 3 we give a maximal function characterization of $F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$. We show in Theorem 3.5 that

$$(1.5) \quad \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)} \approx \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{j\alpha} \varphi_{j,s}^* f)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_p,$$

where $\varphi_{j,s}^* f$ is the Peetre type maximal function with $s > 1/\min(p, q)$. Therefore the definition of the $F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$ -norm is independent of the choice of $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$.

The proof of (1.5) essentially depends on the decay estimates in Lemma 3.1 for the kernel of $\varphi_j(H)$, which can be expressed in terms of continuum and discrete eigenfunctions of H . In Section 2 we solve the eigenfunction equation (2.1) for $k \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{i, \dots, ni\}$ ($i = \sqrt{-1}$), based on a method suggested in [Lam80]. In Section 4, using the explicit kernel of $\varphi_j(H)$ we give a proof of Lemma 3.1 for high and local energies. It turns out that for the absolute continuous part of H , the high and local energy analysis is simpler than the barrier potential, although H has a nonempty pure point spectrum.

A natural question arises: What is the relation between the perturbed function spaces and the ordinary ones, namely, $F_p^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R})$ and $B_p^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R})$? In this regard, we show in Section 5 that $F_p^{0,2}(H)$ is identically the L^p space, $1 < p < \infty$. Furthermore, in Section 6 we obtain the following result (Theorem 6.1) by means of complex interpolation: If $\alpha > 0$, $1 < p < \infty$

and $2p/(p+1) < q < 2p$, then

$$(1.6) \quad F_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = F_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R})$$

and if $\alpha > 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, then

$$B_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = B_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}).$$

The method in proving $F_p^{0,2}(H) = L^p$ is similar to the Hermite case [E95]. However, the identification (1.6) seems new for $\alpha > 0$. It is not difficult to see that the analogue of (1.6) does not hold for the Hermite case, where the potential is x^2 .

As an application of the function space method we obtain a global time decay result (Theorem 6.3) for the solution to the Schrödinger equation (6.1), namely,

$$\|e^{-itH}f\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{B_p^{4\beta,2}(\mathbb{R})}$$

for $1 < p \leq 2$ and $\beta = |\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}|$ being the critical exponent, which is a consequence of the local and long time decay estimates from [JN94] and [GSch04]. Here the perturbed function spaces play an important role in the interpretation of the mapping properties of operators between the abstract and classical spaces. It provides a necessary tool in realizing the above inequality by means of embedding and interpolation.

Finally, we mention that the homogeneous F and B spaces seem to deserve special attention. The crucial reason is that, to our surprise somehow, the decay estimates for the *low energy* ($-\infty < j < 0$) that are required for the derivative of $\varphi_j(H)E_{ac}(x, y)$ does not hold, which leaves open the question on obtaining the homogeneous version of Theorem 3.5. In a sequel to this paper we will consider the homogeneous case and study the spectral multiplier problem on the F and B spaces.

2. THE EIGENFUNCTIONS OF H

Let $V_n = -n(n+1)\operatorname{sech}^2x$ and $H_0 = -d^2/dx^2$. In this section we derive a simple expression for the continuum eigenfunctions of $H = H_0 + V_n$, which are the scattering solutions to the Lippman-Schwinger equation (2.3). We also show that the bound state eigenfunctions are rapid decaying functions.

2.1. Scattering equation. Consider the eigenvalue problem for $(1 + |x|)V \in L^1$,

$$(2.1) \quad He(x, k) = k^2e(x, k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R},$$

with asymptotics

$$(2.2) \quad e_{\pm}(x, k) \sim \begin{cases} T_{\pm}(k)e^{ikx} & \text{if } x \rightarrow \pm\infty \\ e^{ikx} + R_{\pm}(k)e^{-ikx} & \text{if } x \rightarrow \mp\infty, \end{cases}$$

where \pm indicate the sign of k . We will use the notation

$$e(x, k) = \begin{cases} e_+(x, k) & \text{if } k > 0 \\ e_-(x, k) & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

The coefficients $T_{\pm}(k)$ and $R_{\pm}(k)$ in (2.2) are called the *transmission coefficients* and *reflection coefficients*, resp. They satisfy the conservation law $|T_{\pm}(k)|^2 + |R_{\pm}(k)|^2 = 1$. It is easy to see that (2.1) together with (2.2) is equivalent to the Lippman-Schwinger equation

$$(2.3) \quad e_{\pm}(x, k) = e^{ikx} + \frac{1}{2i|k|} \int e^{i|k||x-y|} V(y) e_{\pm}(y, k) dy.$$

2.2. Inductive construction of the solution. Let y_n be the general solution of

$$y_n'' + n(n+1) \operatorname{sech}^2 x y_n = -k^2 y_n.$$

If $n = 0$, $y_0 = Ae^{ikx} + Be^{-ikx}$. If $n \geq 1$, according to [Lam80, Section 2.6] we have by induction

$$y_n(x) = A(k) D_n \cdots D_1(e^{ikx}) + B(k) D_n \cdots D_1(e^{-ikx}),$$

where D_n denotes the differential operator

$$(2.4) \quad D_n = \frac{d}{dx} - n \tanh x, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here we observe that since $\frac{d}{dx}(\tanh x) = 1 - \tanh^2 x$,

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} D_n \cdots D_1(e^{ikx}) &= p_n(\tanh x, ik) e^{ikx}, \\ D_n \cdots D_1(e^{-ikx}) &= q_n(\tanh x, ik) e^{-ikx}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_n(x, k)$ and $q_n(x, k)$ are polynomials of degree n in x, k and have real coefficients.

Let $e_n(x, k)$ denote the particular solution of (2.3) with $V = V_n$. Using the asymptotics (2.2) we solve $e_n(x, k)$ as in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a polynomial $p_n(x, k)$ of degree n in x, k such that*

$$e_{n,\pm}(x, k) = A_n^{\pm}(k) p_n(\tanh x, ik) e^{ikx},$$

Furthermore the following hold.

(a) *The constants $A_n^{\pm}(k)$ are given by*

$$A_n^+(k) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j+ik} \quad \text{and} \quad A_n^-(k) = (-1)^n \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j-ik}.$$

(b) *The transmission coefficients $T_{n,\pm}(k)$ are*

$$T_{n,+}(k) = (-1)^n \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{j-ik}{j+ik} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{n,-}(k) = (-1)^n \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{j+ik}{j-ik}.$$

(c) *The reflection coefficients $R_{n,\pm}(k)$ are all zero.*

Proof. In light of the above discussion we write

$$(2.6) \quad e_{n,\pm}(x, k) = A_n^\pm(k)p_n(\tanh x, ik)e^{ikx} + B_n^\pm(k)q_n(\tanh x, ik)e^{-ikx}.$$

First we assume $k > 0$. Substituting (2.6) into the (2.2), we obtain that $B_n^+(k) = 0 = R_{n,+}(k)$,

$$(2.7) \quad A_n^+(k)p_n(-1, ik) = 1$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad T_{n,+}(k) = A_n^+(k)p_n(1, ik) = \frac{p_n(1, ik)}{p_n(-1, ik)}.$$

Thus (2.6) becomes

$$e_{n,+}(x, k) = A_n^+(k)p_n(\tanh x, ik)e^{ikx}.$$

From (2.5) we easily derive the recurrence formula

$$(2.9) \quad p_n(\tanh x, ik) = \operatorname{sech}^2 x p'_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik) + (ik - n \tanh x)p_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik).$$

Since $p'_{n-1}(x, k)$ is a polynomial in x , it follows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} p'_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik) = p'_{n-1}(\pm 1, ik)$$

is bounded. Taking the limit in (2.9) as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ we find

$$p_n(\pm 1, ik) = (ik \mp n)p_{n-1}(\pm 1, ik).$$

Since $e_0(x, k) = e^{ikx}$, i.e., $p_0 = 1$, $A_0^+ = 1$, we obtain

$$p_n(1, ik) = (-1)^n \prod_{j=1}^n (j - ik)$$

and

$$p_n(-1, ik) = \prod_{j=1}^n (j + ik) = (-1)^n \overline{p_n(1, k)}.$$

Now for $k > 0$, (a), (b) in the lemma follow from (2.7), (2.8).

For k negative, similarly it holds that $B_n^-(k) = 0 = R_{n,-}(k)$ and instead of (2.7), (2.8), we have

$$A_n^-(k)p_n(1, ik) = 1$$

and

$$T_{n,-}(k) = A_n^-(k)p_n(-1, ik).$$

Then the results for A_n^- , $T_{n,-}$ and $e_{n,-}(x, k)$ follow. \square

From (2.5) we can also see

$$(2.10) \quad p_n(\tanh x, -ik) = (-1)^n p_n(-\tanh x, ik)$$

by simple induction. Thus we obtain the following formula for the continuum eigenfunctions.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then*

$$e_n(x, k) = (\text{sign}(k))^n \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j + i|k|} \right) P_n(x, k) e^{ikx},$$

where $P_n(x, k) = p_n(\tanh x, ik)$ is defined by the recursion formula

$$p_n(\tanh x, ik) = \frac{d}{dx} (p_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik)) + (ik - n \tanh x) p_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik).$$

In particular, the function

$$\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \ni (x, k) \mapsto e_n(x, k) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is analytic with $e_n(x, -k) = e_n(-x, k)$. Moreover, the function

$$(x, y, k) \mapsto e_n(x, k) \overline{e_n(y, k)} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^2 + k^2} \right) P_n(x, k) P_n(y, -k) e^{ik(x-y)}$$

is real analytic on \mathbb{R}^3 .

2.5. The point spectrum. For $(1 + |x|)V \in L^1$, we know that the point spectrum of $H_0 + V$ is given by the simple eigenvalues $-\mu^2$ such that $T_+(k)$ has a (simple) pole at $i\mu$; see e.g., [DT79, p.146]. Therefore we have

Lemma 2.6. *The point spectrum of $H = H_0 + V_n$ consists of*

$$\sigma_{pp} = \{-1, -4, \dots, -n^2\}.$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are Schwartz functions that are linear combinations of $\text{sech}^m x \tanh^k x$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. The statement about σ_{pp} follows from the fact that $k = ij$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, are the poles of $T_{n,+}(k) = (-1)^n \prod_{j=1}^n (j - ik)(j + ik)^{-1}$. For $k^2 = -j^2$, let $y_{n,j}$ be the corresponding eigenfunction. By induction we find that

$$\begin{aligned} y_{j,j} &= \text{sech}^j x \\ y_{j+1,j} &= D_{j+1} \text{sech}^j x \\ y_{j+m,j} &= D_{j+m} y_{j+m-1,j}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the bound states are given by

$$y_{n,j}(x) = D_n \cdots D_{j+1} \text{sech}^j x, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$y_{n,n}(x) = \text{sech}^n x.$$

□

Remark 2.7. *There is a continuous extension of V_n when n is replaced by a continuous parameter in \mathbb{R} . We can find the scattering solutions of (2.3) by using the two real fundamental solutions given in [Flu74]. However we do not intend to include them here since the expression (which involves hypergeometric functions) seems quite complicated.*

2.8. Projection of the spectral operator $\phi(H)$. Given $V \in L^1 \cap L^2$, it is known that $H = H_0 + V$ is selfadjoint on the domain $D(H) = D(H_0) = W_2^2(\mathbb{R})$, the usual Sobolev space of order 2 in L^2 . We decompose $L^2 = \mathcal{H}_{ac} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{pp}$, where \mathcal{H}_{ac} denotes the absolute continuous subspace and \mathcal{H}_{pp} the pure point subspace. Let E_{ac}, E_{pp} be the corresponding orthogonal projections, respectively. For a measurable function ϕ we define $\phi(H)$ by functional calculus as usual. Then it follows that

$$\phi(H)f = \phi(H)E_{ac}f + \phi(H)E_{pp}f = \phi(H)|_{\mathcal{H}_{ac}}f + \phi(H)|_{\mathcal{H}_{pp}}f.$$

Let $e(x, k)$ be the scattering solution of (2.3) and $e_j(x)$ the eigenfunction of H with (negative) eigenvalue λ_j . If ϕ is continuous and compactly supported, we have the following expression [Z04a]

$$(2.11) \quad \phi(H)f(x) = \int K_{ac}(x, y)f(y)dy + \sum_{\lambda_j \in \sigma_{pp}} \phi(\lambda_j)(f, e_j)e_j, \quad f \in L^1 \cap L^2,$$

where

$$(2.12) \quad K_{ac}(x, y) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int \phi(k^2)e(x, k)\bar{e}(y, k)dk$$

is the kernel of $\phi(H)E_{ac}$. Note that if $e(x, k)$ is smooth in x , then $K_{ac}(x, y)$ is smooth in x, y .

If letting $K_{pp}(x, y) = \sum_j \phi(\lambda_j)e_j(x)e_j(y)$, we can write (2.11) in a more compact form

$$(2.13) \quad \phi(H)f(x) = \int K(x, y)f(y)dy,$$

where $K = K_{ac} + K_{pp}$. We mention that in the case $(1 + |x|)V \in L^1$ the kernel formula (2.12) agrees with the usual one using the Jost functions [GSch04, DT79].

3. MAXIMAL FUNCTION CHARACTERIZATION

Let $H = H_0 + V_n$. This section is mainly to give a quasi-norm characterization of $F_p^{\alpha, q}(H)$ and $B_p^{\alpha, q}(H)$ using Peetre type maximal function. Consequently, the $F(H)$ and $B(H)$ spaces are well-defined in the sense that different dyadic systems give rise to equivalent quasi-norms.

Let $\{\varphi_j\}_0^\infty$ be a system satisfying conditions (i), (ii) as in Section 1, i.e.,

$$(i) \quad \text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset [-1, 1], \text{ supp } \varphi_j \subset [-2^j, -2^{j-2}] \cup [2^{j-2}, 2^j], \quad j \geq 1;$$

$$(ii) \quad |\varphi_j^{(m)}(x)| \leq c_m 2^{-mj}, \quad \forall j, m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Denote $K_j(x, y) = \varphi_j(H)(x, y)$ the kernel of $\varphi_j(H)$ as given by the formula (2.13). To simplify notation we let

$$(3.1) \quad w_j(x) := 1 + 2^{j/2}|x|.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $j \geq 0$. Then for each $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exist constants $C_m, C'_m > 0$ such that*

$$(a) \quad |K_j(x, y)| \leq C_m 2^{j/2} w_j(x - y)^{-m}$$

$$(b) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K_j(x, y) \right| \leq C'_m 2^j w_j(x - y)^{-m}.$$

We postpone the proof till Section 4.

For $s > 0$ define the analogue of Peetre maximal function:

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi_{j,s}^* f(x) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\varphi_j(H)f(t)|}{w_j(x - t)^s}$$

and

$$\varphi_{j,s}^{**} f(x) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|(\varphi_j(H)f)'(t)|}{w_j(x - t)^s}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $s > 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then there exists a constant $C = C_s > 0$ such that*

$$\varphi_{j,s}^{**} f(x) \leq C 2^{j/2} \varphi_{j,s}^* f(x).$$

Before the proof we note the following identity that will be used often later on. Suppose $\{\psi_j\}$ be a dyadic system as in Section 1. Then

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi_j(H)f = \sum_{\nu=-1}^1 \psi_{j+\nu}(H)\varphi_j(H)f, \quad f \in L^2,$$

with the convention $\psi_{-1} \equiv 0$, which follows from the equality $\varphi_j(x) = \sum_{\nu=-1}^1 \psi_{j+\nu}(x)\varphi_j(x)$ for all x .

Proof. By (3.3) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_j(H)f)(t) = \sum_{\nu=-1}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\psi_{j+\nu}(H)(t, y))\varphi_j(H)f(y) dy.$$

Apply Lemma 3.1 to obtain

$$\frac{\left| \frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_j(H)f)(t) \right|}{w_j(x - t)^s} \leq C_m \sum_{\nu=-1}^1 2^{j+\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\varphi_j(H)f(y)|}{w_{j+\nu}(t - y)^m w_j(x - t)^s} dy.$$

It follows from the definition of $\varphi_{j,s}^* f$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_j(H)f)(t)|}{w_j(x-t)^s} &\leq C_m \sum_{\nu=-1}^1 2^{j+\nu} \varphi_{j,s}^* f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{w_j(t-y)^s}{w_{j+\nu}(t-y)^m} dy \\ &\leq C_s 2^{j/2} \varphi_{j,s}^* f(x), \end{aligned}$$

provided $m - s > 1$. This proves Lemma 3.2. \square

The next lemma (Peetre maximal inequality) follows from Lemma 3.2 by a standard argument; see [Tr83, p.16] or [BZ05]. Let M be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function

$$Mf(x) := \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(x+y)| dy,$$

where the supremum runs over all intervals in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $s > 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There exists a constant $C_s > 0$ such that*

$$\varphi_{j,s}^* f(x) \leq C_s [M(|\varphi_j(H)f|^{1/s})^s](x).$$

Remark 3.4. *It is well known that M is bounded on L^p , $1 < p < \infty$, i.e.,*

$$(3.4) \quad \|Mf\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p.$$

Moreover, if $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q \leq \infty$ and $\{f_j\}$ is a sequence of functions, then

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_j |Mf_j|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C_{p,q} \left\| \left(\sum_j |f_j|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p},$$

(usual modification if $q = \infty$) by the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued maximal inequality.

We now state the following theorem on maximal function characterization of $F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ be a system satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii) as given in Section 1. If $s > 1/\min(p, q)$, then we have for $f \in L^2$*

$$(3.6) \quad \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)} \approx \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{j\alpha} \varphi_{j,s}^* f)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_p.$$

Furthermore, $F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$ is a quasi-Banach space (Banach space if $p \geq 1$, $q \geq 1$) and it is independent of the choice of $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$.

Proof. Because $\varphi_{j,s}^* f(x) \geq |\varphi_j(H)f(x)|$, we only need to show

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{j\alpha} \varphi_{j,s}^* f)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_p \leq C \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)},$$

but this follows from Lemma 3.3 and (3.5). Indeed, choosing $0 < r = 1/s < \min(p, q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{2^{j\alpha}\varphi_{j,s}^*f\}\|_{L^p(\ell^q)} &\leq C_s\|\{2^{j\alpha}[M(|\varphi_j(H)f|^r)]^{1/r}\}\|_{L^p(\ell^q)} \\ &= C_s\|(\sum_0^\infty[M(2^{j\alpha r}|\varphi_j(H)f|^r)]^{q/r})^{r/q}\|_{L^{p/r}}^{1/r} \\ &\leq C_{s,p,q}\|\{2^{j\alpha}\varphi_j(H)f\}\|_{L^p(\ell^q)} \\ &= C_{s,p,q}\|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.7).

To show the second statement let $\psi = \{\psi_j\}$ be another system satisfying the same conditions as $\varphi = \{\varphi_j\}$. We use (3.3) and Lemma 3.1 (a) to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_j(H)f(x)| &\leq C2^{j/2}\sum_{\nu=-1}^1\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|\psi_{j+\nu}(H)f(y)|}{w_j(x-y)^m}dy \\ &\leq C\sum_{\nu=-1}^12^{j/2}\psi_{j+\nu,s}^*f(x)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{w_{j+\nu}(x-y)^s}{w_j(x-y)^m}dy \\ &\leq C\sum_{\nu=-1}^1\psi_{j+\nu,s}^*f(x), \end{aligned}$$

provided $m - s > 1$. Thus, for $f \in L^2$

$$(3.8) \quad \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)}^\varphi \leq C_{s,p,q}\|\{2^{j\alpha}\psi_{j,s}^*f\}\|_{L^p(\ell^q)} \approx \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)}^\psi.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.6. Note that the statement in Theorem 3.5 is true for the more general system $\rho = \{\rho_j\}_0^\infty$ satisfying conditions (i), (ii) and (iii')

$$\sum_j \rho_j(x) \approx c > 0.$$

In fact, let us fix a system $\{\varphi_j\}_0^\infty$ as given in Theorem 3.5. Then the same argument in the proof of (3.8) shows

$$\|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)}^\rho \leq C\|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)}^\varphi.$$

To show the other direction, we define

$$\tilde{\varphi}_j(x) = \varphi_j(x)/(\sum_j \rho_j(x)).$$

Then it is easy to verify that $\{\tilde{\varphi}_j\}$ satisfies (i), (ii), and so, $\tilde{\varphi}_j(H)(x, y)$ satisfies the nice decay in Lemma 3.1. Now the identity

$$\varphi_j(x) = \sum_{\nu=-1}^1 \tilde{\varphi}_j(x) \rho_{j+\nu}(x)$$

and the proof of (3.8) yield

$$\|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)}^\varphi \leq C \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)}^\rho.$$

3.7. Besov spaces for H . Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$. We define $B_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$, the Besov space associated with H to be the completion of the subspace $\{f \in L^2 : \|f\|_{B_p^{\alpha,q}(H)} < \infty\}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{B_p^{\alpha,q}(H)}$, which is given by (1.4). Then $B_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$ is a quasi-Banach space (Banach space if $p, q \geq 1$).

Theorem 3.8. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$. If $s > 1/p$, then for $f \in L^2$

$$\|f\|_{B_p^{\alpha,q}(H)} \approx \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j\alpha q} \|\varphi_{j,s}^* f\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Furthermore, $B_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$ is well defined and independent of the choice of $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$.

The proof of Theorem 3.8 is analogous to that of Theorem 3.5 but we use (3.4) instead of (3.5).

There is an embedding relation between the $F(H)$ and $B(H)$ spaces that can be shown directly from the definitions, namely,

$$(3.9) \quad B_p^{s, \min(p,q)}(H) \hookrightarrow F_p^{s,q}(H) \hookrightarrow B_p^{s, \max(p,q)}(H),$$

$0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, where $X \hookrightarrow Y$ means, as usual, continuous embedding in the sense that $X \subset Y$ and $\|f\|_Y \leq C \|f\|_X$, $\forall f \in X$. The proof of (3.9) is the same as in the Fourier case; see [Tr78, 2.3.2].

3.9. Lifting properties of $F(H)$ and $B(H)$ spaces. Let $c_n > -\inf \sigma(H) = -\inf \sigma_{pp}(H) = n^2$. We need the following lemma in Section 6.

Lemma 3.10. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. Then $(H + c_n)^s$ maps $F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$ isomorphically and continuously onto $F_p^{\alpha-s,q}(H)$. Moreover, $\|(H + c_n)^s f\|_{F_p^{\alpha-s,q}(H)} \approx \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)}$. The analogous statement holds for $B_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$.

Proof. We only give the proof for $F(H)$. The proof for $B(H)$ is similar.

$$\|(H + c_n)^s f\|_{F_p^{\alpha-s,q}(H)} = \|2^{(\alpha-s)j} (H + c_n)^s \varphi_j(H) f\|_{L^p(\ell^q)} = \|2^{j\alpha} \psi_j(H) f\|_{L^p(\ell^q)},$$

where $\psi_j(x) = 2^{-sj} (x + c_n)^s \varphi_j(x)$. Since ψ_j satisfies condition (i), (ii) and (iii)', according to Remark 3.6 we have

$$\|(H + c_n)^s f\|_{F_p^{\alpha-s,q}(H)} \approx \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)}.$$

Also, it is easy to see that the inverse of $(H + c_n)^s$ is $(H + c_n)^{-s}$. This proves that the mapping $(H + c_n)^s: F_p^{\alpha,q}(H) \rightarrow F_p^{\alpha-s,q}(H)$ is surjective. \square

4. PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1

From Section 2 we know $K_j = K_{j,ac} + K_{j,pp}$. We need to show that $K_{j,ac}, K_{j,pp}$ both satisfy the decay estimates (a), (b) in the lemma. For the pure point kernel, since $\sigma_{pp} = \{-1, -4, \dots, -n^2\}$ is finite, it amounts to showing for $0 \leq j \leq 2 + 2 \log_2 n$

$$(4.1) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha K_{j,pp}(x, y)| \leq C_{m,\alpha} (1 + |x - y|)^{-m}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \alpha = 0, 1.$$

For other j 's, the p.p. kernel vanish because $\text{supp } \varphi_j$ are disjoint from the set σ_{pp} . But (4.1) follows from the fact that the eigenfunctions $e_j(x)$ are all Schwartz functions according to Lemma 2.6. So the nontrivial part will be to prove the decay for the a.c. kernel.

4.1. The kernel of $\varphi_j(H)E_{ac}$. Recall from Theorem 2.4 that

$$e_n(x, k) = A_n(k) P_n(x, k) e^{ikx},$$

where $A_n(k) = (\text{sign}(k))^n \prod_{j=1}^n (j + i|k|)^{-1}$ and $P_n(x, k) = p_n(\tanh x, ik)$ is a polynomial of real coefficients and of order n in $\tanh x$ and ik .

4.1.1. High energy estimates ($j > 0$). Let $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be given as in the beginning of Section 3. By (2.12) the kernel of $\varphi_j(H)E_{ac}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{j,ac}(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \varphi_j(k^2) e_n(x, k) \overline{e_n(y, k)} dk \\ &= \int_0^\infty + \int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi_j(k^2) R(x, y, k) e^{ik(x-y)} dk := K^+(x, y) + K^-(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad R(x, y, k) = P(x, k) P(y, -k) / \prod_{j=1}^n (j^2 + k^2).$$

We only need to deal with $K^+(x, y)$ because $K^-(x, y) = K^+(-x, -y)$ in light of the relation $e_n(x, -k) = e_n(-x, k)$. Let $\lambda = 2^{-j/2}$ throughout this section. We have by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi |K^+(x, y)| &= \left| \frac{(-1)^m}{i^m (x-y)^m} \int_{2^{j/2-1}}^{2^{j/2}} \frac{d^m}{dk^m} [\varphi_j(k^2) R(x, y, k)] e^{ik(x-y)} dk \right| \\ &\leq C_m \lambda^{m-1} / |x-y|^m, \quad m \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used for $k \sim \lambda^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d^i}{dk^i} [\varphi_j(k^2)] &= O(\lambda^i) \\ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial k^j} R(x, y, k) &= O(\lambda^j) \end{cases} \quad \text{uniformly in } x, y.$$

The same estimate also holds for $K^-(x, y)$. Hence we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad |K_{j,ac}(x, y)| \leq C_m \lambda^{-1} / (1 + \lambda^{-1}|x - y|)^m.$$

4.1.2. *Low energy estimates* ($-\infty < j < 0$). If we allow $j < 0$ with φ_j satisfying conditions (i), (ii) in Section 3, then (4.4) also holds for $j < 0$ by the same proof above, except that instead of (4.3) we use the following estimates: if $k \sim \lambda^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow -\infty$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^i}{dk^i}[\varphi_j(k^2)] &= O(\lambda^i) \leq O(\lambda^m) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq m \\ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial k^j} R(x, y, k) &= O(1) \quad \text{uniformly in } x, y. \end{cases}$$

However, the low energy case will be needed only in the discussion of homogeneous spaces $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$, $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$.

4.1.3. *Local energy estimates.* Fix $\Phi := \varphi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with support $\subset [-1, 1]$.

$$2\pi\Phi(H)E_{ac}(x, y) = \int_{-1}^1 \Phi(k^2)R(x, y, k)e^{ik(x-y)} dk.$$

Using for $k \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^i}{dk^i}[\Phi(k^2)] &= O(1) \\ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial k^j} R(x, y, k) &= O(1) \quad \text{uniformly in } x, y \end{cases}$$

and integrating by parts on $[-1, 1]$, where we note that $k \mapsto R(x, y, k)$ is analytic at zero, we obtain for each m

$$|\Phi(H)E_{ac}(x, y)| \leq C_m(1 + |x - y|)^{-m}.$$

4.2. **The derivative of the kernel.** Using the notation in Subsection 4.1, we proceed

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K_{j,ac}(x, y) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int \varphi_j(k^2)R(x, y, k)e^{ik(x-y)} dk \\ &= \int \varphi_j(k^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [R(x, y, k)e^{ik(x-y)}] dk \\ &= \int \varphi_j(k^2) |A(k)|^2 [ikP(x, k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P(x, k)] P(y, -k) e^{ik(x-y)} dk. \end{aligned}$$

The function $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} P(x, k)$ is a polynomial of $\tanh x$ and ik having degrees $n + 1$ and $n - 1$, resp. Note that if $|k| \sim \lambda^{-1} = 2^{j/2}$, $j > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{d^i}{dk^i} (k\varphi_j(k^2)) \right| = O(\lambda^{i-1}),$$

and if $|k| \leq 1$,

$$\left| \frac{d^i}{dk^i} (k\Phi(k^2)) \right| = O(1).$$

We obtain, by similar arguments as in Subsection 4.1, for each $m \geq 0$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K_{j,ac}(x, y) \right| \leq C_m \lambda^{-2} (1 + \lambda^{-1}|x - y|)^{-m}, \quad j > 0$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi(H) E_{ac}(x, y) \right| \leq C_m (1 + |x - y|)^{-m}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Remark 4.3. For $-\infty < j < 0$, the best estimate is, for each $m \geq 0$

$$(4.5) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K_{j,ac}(x, y) \right| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \operatorname{sech}^2 x \tanh y (1 + \lambda^{-1} |x - y|)^{-m} + \lambda^{-2} (1 + \lambda^{-1} |x - y|)^{-m}.$$

We observe that the first term has only a factor of $\lambda^{-1} = O(2^{j/2})$ as $j \rightarrow -\infty$, which makes unavailable the Bernstein inequality and Peetre maximal inequality, namely low energy cases of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, resp. Nevertheless, if we work a little harder, using (4.4) and (4.5) we can obtain a weaker form of Peetre maximal inequality and prove the following: if $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}(H)} \approx \|\{2^{j\alpha} \varphi_j^*(H) f\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^q(L^p)}$$

and if $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}(H)} \approx \|\{2^{j\alpha} \varphi_j^*(H) f\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^p(\ell^q)}.$$

5. IDENTIFICATION OF $F_p^{0,2}(H) = L^p$, $1 < p < \infty$

Let $\{\varphi_j\}_0^\infty$ be as in Section 1. Then there exists $\{\psi_j\}_0^\infty$ satisfying the same conditions (i), (ii) therein such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(x) \psi_j(x) = 1$$

by taking $\psi_j(x) = \overline{\varphi_j(x)} / \sum |\varphi_j(x)|^2$. We may assume that $\|\varphi_j\|_\infty, \|\psi_j\|_\infty$ are all ≤ 1 . Let $Q_j = \varphi_j(H)$ and $R_j = \psi_j(H)$. Define the operators $Q : L^2 \rightarrow L^2(\ell^2)$ and $R : L^2(\ell^2) \rightarrow L^2$ as follows.

$$Q : f \mapsto \{Q_j(H) f\}_0^\infty$$

and

$$R : \{g_j\}_0^\infty \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_j g_j.$$

It follows from the definition that

$$(5.1) \quad \|f\|_{F_p^{0,2}(H)} = \|Qf\|_{L^p(\ell^2)}$$

and it is easy to see that $RQ = I : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ and $QR \leq 3I : L^2(\ell^2) \rightarrow L^2(\ell^2)$. We will use Q and R to identify $F_p^{0,2}(H)$ with L^p .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $F_p^{0,2}(H)$ and L^p are isomorphic and have equivalent norms.*

To prove the theorem, we will show that $Q: L^p \rightarrow L^p(\ell^2)$ and $R: L^p(\ell^2) \rightarrow L^p$, $1 < p < \infty$, that is,

$$(5.2) \quad \|Qf\|_{L^p(\ell^2)} \lesssim \|f\|_p \quad \text{and} \quad \|Rg\|_p \lesssim \|g\|_{L^p(\ell^2)}$$

for $f \in L^2 \cap L^p$ and $g \in L^2(\ell^2) \cap L^p(\ell^2)$, resp. This means that, by a density argument,

$$(5.3) \quad \|f\|_{F_p^{0,2}(H)} \lesssim \|f\|_p$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \|f\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_{F_p^{0,2}(H)}.$$

Here in view of (5.2), (5.3) follows from (5.1) and (5.4) follows, with $g = Qf$, from the identity $RQ = I$, i.e., $\sum \varphi_j(H)\psi_j(H) = I$. Thus (5.3) and (5.4) prove Theorem 5.1.

The remaining part of this section is devoted to showing the boundedness of Q and R in (5.2). In the following, Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.4 imply that Q is bounded from L^p to $L^p(\ell^2)$, and, Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.5 imply that R is bounded from $L^p(\ell^2)$ to L^p by interpolation and duality.

Lemma 5.2. $Q: L^2 \rightarrow L^2(\ell^2)$ and $R: L^2(\ell^2) \rightarrow L^2$ are well-defined bounded operators.

Proof. Let $\{g_j\} \in L^2(\ell^2)$. Note that R_j is bounded on L^2 : $\|R_j g\|_2 \leq \|\psi_j\|_\infty \|g\|_2 \leq \|g\|_2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_j g_j, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_j g_j \right) &= \sum_{\nu=-1}^1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (R_j g_j, R_{j+\nu} g_{j+\nu}) \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=-1}^1 \sum_j \|R_j g_j\|_2 \|R_{j+\nu} g_{j+\nu}\|_2 \\ &\leq 3 \sum_j \|g_j\|_2^2 = 3 \|g\|_{L^2(\ell^2)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $\|Qf\|_{L^2(\ell^2)} \leq \sqrt{2} \|f\|_2$ because $\sum_j |\varphi_j(x)|^2 \leq 2$ for all x . \square

We now derive some necessary estimates for the kernel of $Q_j = \varphi_j(H)$, which is denoted by $Q_j(x, y)$. Define

$$\tilde{Q}_j(x, y) = \begin{cases} Q_j(x, y) & \text{if } 2^{j/2}|I| \geq 1 \\ Q_j(x, y) - Q_j(x, \bar{y}) & \text{if } 2^{j/2}|I| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.3. Let $I = (\bar{y} - \frac{t}{2}, \bar{y} + \frac{t}{2})$, $t = |I|$ and $I^* = (\bar{y} - t, \bar{y} + t)$. Then there exists a constant C independent of I such that (a) If $2^{j/2}|I| \geq 1$,

$$\sup_{y \in I} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I^*} |Q_j(x, y)| dx \leq C(2^{j/2}|I|)^{-1}.$$

(b) If $2^{j/2}|I| < 1$,

$$\sup_{y \in I} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I^*} |Q_j(x, y) - Q_j(x, \bar{y})| dx \leq C2^{j/2}|I|.$$

In particular, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I^*} |\tilde{Q}_j(x, y)| dx \leq (2 + \sqrt{2})C.$$

Proof. For (a), we let $2^{j/2}|I| \geq 1$ and $y \in I$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 (a) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I^*} |Q_j(x, y)| dx &\leq C_m \int_{|x-y|>t/2} \frac{2^{j/2}}{(1 + 2^{j/2}|x-y|)^m} dx \\ &\leq C(2^{j/2}|I|)^{-1}, \quad (m = 2). \end{aligned}$$

For (b) we let $2^{j/2}|I| < 1$, $y \in I$ (\bar{y} being the center of I) and apply Lemma 3.1 (b) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I^*} |Q_j(x, y) - Q_j(x, \bar{y})| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I^*} \left| \int_{\bar{y}}^y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} Q_j(x, z) dz \right| dx \\ &\leq C_m |y - \bar{y}| \int_{|x-\bar{y}|>t} \frac{2^j}{(1 + 2^{j/2-1}|x-\bar{y}|)^m} dx \\ &\leq C2^{j/2}|I|, \quad (m = 2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.4. Q is bounded from L^1 to weak- $L^1(\ell^2)$, i.e.,

$$|\{x : (\sum_0^\infty |Q_j f(x)|^2)^{1/2} > \lambda\}| \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_1, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^1$. By the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, there exists a sequence of disjoint intervals $\{I_k\}$ and functions $\{b_k\}$ with $\text{supp } b_k \subset I_k$ such that $f = g + b$ with $g \in L^2$ and $b = \sum_k b_k \in L^1$. Furthermore, for each $\lambda > 0$ the following properties hold

- (i) $|g(x)| \leq C\lambda$ a.e.
- (ii) $b_k(x) = f(x) - |I_k|^{-1} \int_{I_k} f dx$, $x \in I_k$
- (iii) $\lambda \leq |I_k|^{-1} \int_{I_k} |f| dx \leq 2\lambda$
- (iv) $\sum_k |I_k| \leq \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_1$.

From Lemma 5.2 we know that $Q : L^2 \rightarrow L^2(\ell^2)$ is bounded, i.e.,

$$\int \sum_0^\infty |Q_j g(x)|^2 dx \leq C \|g\|_2^2.$$

By Chebyshev inequality we have

$$|\{x : (\sum_0^\infty |Q_j g(x)|^2)^{1/2} > \lambda/2\}| \leq C\lambda^{-2} \|g\|_2^2 \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_1.$$

Now we only need to show

$$|\{x \notin \cup I_k^* : (\sum_j |Q_j b(x)|^2)^{1/2} > \lambda/2\}| \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_1,$$

where $I_k^* = 2I_k$ means the interval of length $2|I_k|$ with the same center as I_k . Note that the left hand side of the above inequality is bounded by

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup I_k^*} (\sum_j |Q_j b_k(x)|^2)^{1/2} dx \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup I_k^*} \sum_j |Q_j b_k(x)| dx.$$

For each k , since $\int b_k = 0$, we apply Lemma 5.3 with $I = I_k$ and estimate above the r.h.s. of (5.6) by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup I_k^*} \sum_j \int |\tilde{Q}_j(x, y)| |b_k(y)| dy dx \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_k \int_{y \in I_k} |b_k(y)| dy \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_k^*} \sum_j |\tilde{Q}_j(x, y)| dx \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_k \int_{I_k} |b_k(y)| dy \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let $R_j = \psi_j(H)$. Then $R = \{R_j\}$ is bounded from $L^1(\ell^2)$ to weak- L^1 .*

Proof. It suffices to show that there exists a constant C such that

$$(5.7) \quad |\{x : |\sum_0^N R_j f_j(x)| > \lambda\}| \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|\{f_j\}\|_{L^1(\ell^2)}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{f_j\} \in L^1(\ell^2)$ and $\lambda > 0$. By passing to the limit we see that (5.7) also holds for $N = \infty$ and all $\{f_j\} \in L^1(\ell^2) \cap L^2(\ell^2)$. Then the lemma follows from the fact that $L^1(\ell^2) \cap L^2(\ell^2)$ is dense in $L^1(\ell^2)$.

Let $F(x) = (\sum_{j=0}^\infty |f_j(x)|^2)^{1/2} \in L^1$. By the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition there exists a sequence of disjoint open intervals $\{I_k\}$ such that

- (i) $|F(x)| \leq C\lambda$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \cup_k I_k$
- (ii) $\lambda \leq |I_k|^{-1} \int_{I_k} |F(x)| dx \leq 2\lambda$, $\forall k$.

Define

$$g_j(x) = \begin{cases} |I_k|^{-1} \int_{I_k} f_j dy, & x \in I_k \\ f_j(x) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad b_j(x) = \begin{cases} f_j - g_j, & x \in I_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, if $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \cup_k I_k$, $(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |g_j(x)|^2)^{1/2} = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_j(x)|^2)^{1/2}$, and, if $x \in I_k$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |g_j(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |I_k|^{-2} \left| \int_{I_k} f_j(y) dy \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq |I_k|^{-1} \int_{I_k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_j(y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} dy \leq 2\lambda \end{aligned}$$

by Minkowski inequality. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{g_j(x)\}\|_{L^2(\ell^2)}^2 &= \sum_k \int_{I_k} \left(\sum_j |g_j(x)|^2 \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup_k I_k} \left(\sum_j |g_j(x)|^2 \right) dx \\ &\leq (2\lambda)^2 \sum_k |I_k| + 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup_k I_k} \left(\sum_j |f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} dx \\ &\leq C\lambda \|F\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 5.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x : \left| \sum_0^N R_j g_j(x) \right| > \lambda/2\}| &\leq C\lambda^{-2} \left\| \sum_0^N R_j g_j \right\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C'\lambda^{-2} \|\{g_j\}\|_{L^2(\ell^2)}^2 \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|F\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show

$$|\{x \notin \cup_k I_k^* : \left| \sum_0^N R_j b_j(x) \right| > \lambda/2\}| \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|F\|_1.$$

The left hand side is not exceeding $\frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup_k I_k^*} \left| \sum_{j=0}^N R_j b_{j,k}(x) \right| dx$, where $b_{j,k} = b_j \chi_{I_k}$, χ_{I_k} the characteristic function of I_k . For each k , define

$$\tilde{R}_j^k(x, y) = \begin{cases} R_j(x, y) & \text{if } 2^{j/2} |I_k| \geq 1 \\ R_j(x, y) - R_j(x, \bar{y}_k) & \text{if } 2^{j/2} |I_k| < 1, \end{cases}$$

where \bar{y}_k is the center of I_k . Then it follows from Lemma 5.3 with $I = I_k$ and Q_j replaced by R_j that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_k^*} \left(\sum_{j=0}^N |\tilde{R}_j^k(x, y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_k^*} \sum_{j=0}^N |\tilde{R}_j^k(x, y)| dx \leq C, \quad \forall y \in I_k, N.$$

Thus we obtain, using $\int b_{j,k} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_k^*} \left| \sum_{j=0}^N R_j b_{j,k}(x) \right| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_k^*} \left| \sum_{j=0}^N \int_{I_k} \tilde{R}_j^k(x, y) b_{j,k}(y) dy \right| dx \\
&\leq \int_{I_k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^N |b_{j,k}|^2(y) \right)^{1/2} dy \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_k^*} \left(\sum_{j=0}^N |\tilde{R}_j^k(x, y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} dx \\
&\leq C \int_{I_k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^N |b_{j,k}|^2 \right)^{1/2} dy \\
&\leq 2C \int_{I_k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left| \{x \notin \cup I_k^* : \left| \sum_0^N R_j b_j(x) \right| > \lambda/2\} \right| \leq \frac{4C}{\lambda} \sum_k \int_{I_k} \left(\sum_j |f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} dy \leq \frac{4C}{\lambda} \left\| \left(\sum_j |f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1,$$

as desired. This completes the proof. \square

6. REMARKS ON BOUNDEDNESS OF THE WAVE FUNCTION

We conclude the paper with a boundedness result on the wave function $\psi(t, x) = e^{-itH} f$ which is the solution to the Schrödinger equation

$$(6.1) \quad i \partial_t \psi = H \psi, \quad \psi(0, x) = f(x).$$

We will see that using the $B(H)$ and $F(H)$ space one can obtain a global time decay for $\psi(t, x)$ (Theorem 6.3). The perturbed Besov space method has been considered in [JN94, Y95, Cu00, CuS01] and more recently, [BZ05, DP05, DF05] involving Schrödinger and wave equations.

By [BZ05, Theorem 7.1] or [JN94, Theorem 5.1] we know that if V is in the Kato class \mathcal{K}_d and if $\mathcal{D}(H^m) = W_p^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then for $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $0 < \alpha < m$, $B_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = B_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It is easy to see that if V is C^∞ with all derivatives bounded, then the domain condition on H is verified for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following we assume $H = -d^2/dx^2 + V_n$ and restrict our discussion to the P-T potential, although results here have extensions to general potentials on \mathbb{R}^d .

Since $V_n \sim \text{sech}^2 x$ is in the Schwartz class, we have

$$B_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = B_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R})$$

for all $\alpha > 0$. In particular, $F_p^{\alpha,p}(H) = F_p^{2\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R})$ since it always holds that $F_p^{\alpha,p} = B_p^{\alpha,p}$ by the definitions (see (1.3), (1.4)). On the other hand, by Theorem 5.1, $F_p^{0,2}(H) = L^p =$

$F_p^{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus we obtain the following theorem using complex interpolation method; consult [Tr78, Tr83] or [BL76] for details.

Theorem 6.1. *If $\alpha > 0$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $2p/(p+1) < q < 2p$, then*

$$F_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = F_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}).$$

If $\alpha > 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, then

$$B_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = B_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}).$$

From Theorem 6.1 and [JN94, Theorem 4.6, Remark 4.7] we obtain the boundedness of $\psi(t, x)$ on ordinary Besov spaces. Let $\langle t \rangle = (1 + t^2)^{1/2}$ and let $\beta = \beta(p) = |\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}|$ be the critical exponent.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Then*

$$(6.2) \quad \|e^{-itH} f\|_{B_p^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}|} \|f\|_{B_p^{\alpha+2\beta,q}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Moreover, if $2 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|e^{-itH} f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}|} \|f\|_{B_p^{2\beta,2}(\mathbb{R})}$$

and if $1 \leq p < 2$,

$$(6.3) \quad \|e^{-itH} f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}|} \|f\|_{B_p^{2\beta,1}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Proof. Let $\{\varphi_j\}_0^\infty$ be a smooth dyadic system. From the proof of [JN94, Theorem 4.6] we see that

$$\|e^{-itH} \varphi_j(H) f\|_p \lesssim 2^{j\beta} \langle t \rangle^{|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}|} \|\varphi_j(H) f\|_p, \quad j \geq 0.$$

This implies (6.2) by Theorem 6.1 and

$$(6.4) \quad \|e^{-itH} f\|_{B_p^{0,q}(H)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}|} \|f\|_{B_p^{\beta,q}(H)}.$$

Now if $p \geq 2$, then $B_p^{0,2}(H) \hookrightarrow F_p^{0,2}(H)$ according to (3.9). We have

$$\|e^{-itH} f\|_{L^p} \approx \|e^{-itH} f\|_{F_p^{0,2}(H)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}|} \|f\|_{B_p^{\beta,2}(H)}.$$

For $1 \leq p < 2$, because

$$\|f\|_p \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\varphi_j(H) f\|_p = \|f\|_{B_p^{0,1}(H)},$$

we see $B_p^{0,1}(H) \hookrightarrow L^p$, which implies (6.3) in light of (6.4). \square

One is also interested in understanding the long time behavior of $\psi(t, x)$. From [GSch04] and [DF05] we know that if $(1 + x^2)V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$(6.5) \quad \|e^{-itH} E_{ac} f\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim t^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad \forall t > 0, 1 \leq p \leq 2,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. So Proposition 6.2 and (6.5) yield

$$(6.6) \quad \|e^{-itH} E_{ac} f\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{B_{p'}^{2\beta, 2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p}, \quad 1 < p \leq 2,$$

where we note that E_{ac} is bounded on L^p because E_{pp} , which has the kernel $\sum_{j=1}^n e_j(x)e_j(y)$, is bounded on L^p (see the discussion at the beginning of Section 4).

Theorem 6.3. *Let $1 < p \leq 2$. Then*

$$(6.7) \quad \|e^{-itH} E_{ac} f\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{B_p^{4\beta, 2}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

$$(6.8) \quad \|e^{-itH} E_{ac} f\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{F_p^{4\beta, 2}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Proof. Since $B_p^{4\beta, 2}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow B_{p'}^{2\beta, 2}(\mathbb{R})$ (Besov embedding; see e.g. [Tr83, 2.7.1]) and $B_p^{\epsilon, 2}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^p$ if $\epsilon > 0$, it follows from (6.6) that

$$\|e^{-itH} E_{ac} f\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{B_p^{4\beta, 2}(\mathbb{R})}$$

provided $1 < p \leq 2$. The second inequality follows from (6.7) and the embedding $F_p^{s, 2}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow B_p^{s, 2}(\mathbb{R})$ in light of (3.9). \square

Remark 6.4. *For (6.8), if alternatively starting with (6.6) (rather than (6.7)) and using an embedding of Jawerth [Tr83; 2.7.1], we can obtain an improved result: if $1 < p < 2$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, then*

$$\|e^{-itH} E_{ac} f\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{F_p^{4\beta, q}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

As a consequence we also obtain the following regularity result by the identification in Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 6.5. *Let $\alpha > 0$. If $1 < p \leq 2$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, then*

$$(6.9) \quad \|e^{-itH} E_{ac} f\|_{B_{p'}^{\alpha, q}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{B_p^{\alpha+4\beta, q}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

If $1 < p \leq 2$, $p \leq q \leq 2$, then

$$(6.10) \quad \|e^{-itH} E_{ac} f\|_{F_{p'}^{\alpha, q}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha+4\beta, q}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Proof. Since $B_p^{2\beta, 2}(H) = B_p^{4\beta, 2}(\mathbb{R})$ by Theorem 6.1, we can write (6.7) as

$$(6.11) \quad \|e^{-itH} E_{ac} f\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{B_p^{2\beta, 2}(H)}.$$

Replace f with $\varphi_j(H)f$ in (6.11). Then the B -inequality (6.9) follows from the simple observation that

$$\left(\sum_j 2^{j\alpha q} \|\varphi_j(H)f\|_{B_p^{\gamma, 2}(H)}^q \right)^{1/q} \approx \|f\|_{B_p^{\alpha+\gamma, q}(H)}.$$

To show the F -inequality, substitute $f = (H + c_n)^{-\alpha} f$ into (6.8) but use the $F_p^{2\beta,2}(H)$ -norm instead. Then by the lifting property in Lemma 3.10 and Theorem 6.1, we have

$$(6.12) \quad \|e^{-itH} E_{ac} f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha+4\beta,2}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Now (6.10) follows from the interpolation between (6.12) and (6.9) with $p = q$, where we note that $B_p^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}) = F_p^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R})$. \square

Acknowledgment. The second author would like to thank A. Seeger for a helpful suggestion on the use of interpolation that has led to Theorem 6.1.

REFERENCES

- [AGHH] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Hoegh-Krohn, H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [BZ05] J. Benedetto, S. Zheng, Besov spaces for the Schrödinger operator with barrier potential (submitted). <http://lanl.arXiv.org/math.CA/0411348>.
- [BL76] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [B99] H. Beyer, On the completeness of the quasinormal modes of the Pöschl-Teller potential, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **204** (1999), no. 2, 397-423.
- [C01] M. Christ, *One-dimensional Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying potentials: spectra and asymptotics*, Workshop on Oscillatory Integrals and Dispersive Equations, IPAM, 2001.
- [Cu00] S. Cuccagna, On the wave equation with a potential, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **25** (2000), no. 7-8, 1549-1565.
- [CuS01] S. Cuccagna, P. Schirmer, On the wave equation with a magnetic potential, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **54** (2001), no. 2, 135-152.
- [DF05] P. D'Ancona, L. Fanelli, L^p boundedness of the wave operator for the one dimensional Schrödinger operator. <http://arXiv.org/math-ph/0509059>.
- [DP05] P. D'Ancona, V. Pierfelice, On the wave equation with a large rough potential, *J. Funct. Anal* **227** (2005), no. 1, 30-77.
- [DT79] P. Deift, E. Trubowitz, Inverse scattering on the line, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **XXXII** (1979), 121-251.
- [DZ98] J. Dziubański, Atomic decomposition of H^p spaces associated with some Schrödinger operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **47** (1998), 75-98.
- [DZ02] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz, H^p spaces for Schrödinger operators, in: *Fourier Analysis and Related Topics*, Banach Center Publ. **56** (2002), 45-53.
- [E95] J. Epperson, Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces for Hermite expansions, *Studia Math.* **114** (1995), no.1, 87-103.
- [E96] _____, Hermite multipliers and pseudo-multipliers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), no.7, 2061-2068.
- [Flu74] S. Flügge, *Practical Quantum Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [FJW] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, G. Weiss, *Littlewood-Paley Theory and the Study of Function Spaces*, Conference Board of the Math. Sci. **79**, 1991.
- [GSch04] M. Goldberg, W. Schlag, Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimensions one and three, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **251** (2004), no. 1, 157-178.
- [G89] C. Grosche, Path integral solution of a class of potentials related to the Pöschl-Teller potential, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** (1989), 5073-5087.

- [JN94] A. Jensen, S. Nakamura, Mapping properties of functions of Schrödinger operators between L^p spaces and Besov spaces, in *Spectral and Scattering Theory and Applications*, Advanced Studies in Pure Math. **23** (1994), 187-209.
- [Lam80] G. Lamb, *Elements of Soliton Theory*, Pure & Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, 1980.
- [Tr83] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1983.
- [Tr92] ———, *Theory of Function Spaces II*, Monographs Math. **84**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.
- [Tr78] ———, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1978.
- [Y95] K. Yajima, The $W^{k,p}$ -continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **47** (1995), no. 3, 551-581.
- [Zh01] Q. Zhang, Global bounds of Schrödinger heat kernels with negative potentials, *J. Funct. Anal.* **182** (2001), no.2, 344-370.
- [Z04a] S. Zheng, A representation formula related to Schrödinger operators, *Anal. Theo. Appl.* **20** (2004), no.3., 294-296. <http://lanl.arXiv.org/math.SP/0412314>.
- [Z04b] ———, Perturbed Fourier transform associated to Schrödinger operators, *Preprint* (2004).
- [Z03] ———, *Besov Spaces for Schrödinger Operators*, Dissertation, University of Maryland, College Park, 2003.
- [Z05] ———, Littlewood-Paley theory, atomic decomposition and Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{R}^d , Presented at the 111th AMS Conference, Atlanta, 2005.

(Gestur Ólafsson) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803

E-mail address: olafsson@math.lsu.edu

URL: <http://www.math.lsu.edu/~olafsson>

(Shijun Zheng) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SC 29208

AND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803

E-mail address: shijun@math.sc.edu

URL: <http://www.math.sc.edu/~shijun>